Teleportation of arbitrary n-qudit state with multipartite entanglement

Zhan-jun Zhang a,b

^a Department of Physics and Center for Quantum Information Science,
 National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan
 ^b School of Physics & Material Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

We propose a protocol \mathcal{D}_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with the tensor product state (TPS) of n generalized Bell states (GBSs) as the quantum channel. We also put forward explicit protocol \mathcal{D}'_n and \mathcal{D}''_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with two classes of 2n-qudit GESs as the quantum channel, where the GESs are a kind of genuine entangled states we construct and can not be reducible to the TPS of n GBSs.

I. Introduction

No-cloning theorem forbids a perfect copy of an arbitrary unknown quantum state. How to interchange different resources has ever been a question in quantum computation and quantum information. In 1993, Bennett et al.[1] first presented a quantum teleportation scheme \mathcal{T}_0 . In the scheme, an arbitrary unknown quantum state in Alice's qubit can be teleported to a distant qubit B with the aid of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) pair. Suppose Alice has a qubit x in an arbitrary unknown normalized state

$$|\Lambda\rangle_x = \alpha|0\rangle_x + \beta|1\rangle_x,\tag{1}$$

where α and β are complex. Alice and a remote Bob share an EPR pair (a, b), say, in the state

$$|\Psi_0\rangle_{ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{1} (|j\rangle|j\rangle)_{ab}.$$
 (2)

This teleportaion between Alice and Bob can be seen intuitively from the following equation,

$$|\Lambda\rangle_x|\Psi_0\rangle_{ab} = \sum_{i=0}^3 |\Psi_i\rangle_{ax}\sigma_b^{(i)}|\Lambda\rangle_b, \tag{3}$$

where $|\Psi_i\rangle_{ab} = \sigma_b^{(i)}|\Psi_0\rangle_{ab}$, $\sigma^{(0)} = |1\rangle\langle 1| + |0\rangle\langle 0|$, $\sigma^{(1)} = |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|$, $\sigma^{(2)} = |0\rangle\langle 1| - |1\rangle\langle 0|$ and $\sigma^{(3)} = |1\rangle\langle 1| - |0\rangle\langle 0|$. Bennett et al's work showed in essence the interchangeability of different quantum resources[2].

The teleportation of multi-qubit teleportation has been studied by Lee et al[3] and Yang et al[4]. Suppose that the arbitrary $n(n \ge 2)$ -qubit state Alice wants to teleport to Bob is written as

$$|\Lambda\rangle_{x_1x_2...x_n} = \sum_{m_N=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{m_2=0}^{1} \sum_{m_1=0}^{1} C_{m_1m_2...m_N} |m_1\rangle_{x_1} |m_2\rangle_{x_2} \dots |m_n\rangle_{x_n},$$
(4)

where C's are complex coefficients and $|\Lambda\rangle_{x_1x_2...x_n}$ is assumed to be normalized. Alice and Bob share in advance N same Bell states, say, $|\Psi_0\rangle_{a_nb_n}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\Psi_0\rangle_{a_2b_2}\otimes|\Psi_0\rangle_{a_1b_1}$. The n qubits $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ and a_n is in Alice's site. The n qubits $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-1}$ and b_n in Bob's site are used to "receive" the teleported state from Alice. Hence, the initial joint state is

$$|\Lambda\rangle_{x_1x_2...x_n} \otimes |\Psi_0\rangle_{a_nb_n} \otimes \ldots \otimes |\Psi_0\rangle_{a_2b_2} \otimes |\Psi_0\rangle_{a_1b_1}. \tag{5}$$

It can be rewritten as[5]

$$\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} |\Psi_{i_{n}}\rangle_{a_{n}x_{n}} |\Psi_{i_{n-1}}\rangle_{a_{n-1}x_{n-1}} \cdots |\Psi_{i_{1}}\rangle_{a_{1}x_{1}} U_{i_{n}i_{n-1}\cdots i_{1};b_{n}b_{n-1}\cdots b_{2}b_{1}} |\Lambda\rangle_{b_{1}b_{2}\cdots b_{n-1}b_{n}}.$$
 (6)

If Alice performs n Bell-state measurements on the qubit pairs $(a_n, x_n), \ldots, (a_1, x_1)$ and publishes a 2n-bit classical message corresponding to her measurement outcomes on the qubit pairs, then conditioned on Alice's information, Bob can recover the arbitrary state $|\Lambda\rangle$ by performing at most 2n single-qubit operations. To our knowledge, as for as the multipartite quantum state teleportation is concerned, only protocols for n-qubit state teleportation are proposed[3-9], and so far there does not exist any protocol for teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state though teleportation for one-qudit state has ever been studied by Zubairy[10], Stenholm and Bardroff[11], and Roa et al[12]. In this paper we will extend such sutdies. We will directly consider the general case of teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with the tensor product state (TPS) of n generalized Bell states (GBSs) as the quantum channel.

On the other hand, recently Rigolin[6] has proposed a protocol for teleporting an arbitrary two-qubit state with a four-particle generalized Bell state as a genuine quantum teleportation channel and a four-particle joint measurement. However, the multipartite state in the Rigolin's protocol is just a tensor product state of two Bell states in essence, not a genuine multipartite entangled state[7]. As a consequence, the Rigolins protocol[6] is equivalent to the Yang-Guo protocol[4] for teleporting an arbitrary multipartite state in principle. Very recently, Yeo and Chua[8] have presented an explicit protocol for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary two-qubit state via a genuine 4-qubit entangled state they constructed. They think it is an important consideration because the four-qubit entangled state, in addition to two Bell states, could be a likely candidate for the genuine four-partite analogue to a Bell state. Soon later, Cheng, Zhu and Guo[9] presented a general form of genuine multipartite entangled quantum channels for arbitrary qubit-state teleportation. In this paper we will present an explicit protocol for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with two classes of 2n-qudit GESs, where GES is referred to as a kind of genuine entangled states we construct and can not be reducible to the TPS of n GBSs.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II we will propose a faithful teleportation protocol \mathcal{D}_n of multipartite n-qudit state with the TPS of n GBSs as the quantum channel. In section III we will present an explicit protocols \mathcal{D}'_n and \mathcal{D}''_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary multipartite n-qudit state with two classes of GESs. A brief summary is given in section IV.

II. Protocol \mathcal{D}_n for teleporting arbitrary n-qudit state using TPS of n GBSs

Teleportation for one-qudit state has ever been studied by Zubairy[10], Stenholm and Bardroff[11], and Roa et al[12]. However, so far there does not exist any protocol concerning the teleportation of an arbitrary n-qudit state. In this section we will focus on this issue and propose a faithful teleportation protocol \mathcal{D}_n of multipartite n-qudit state with the TPS of n GBSs as the quantum channel.

Suppose Alice has n qudits $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ in the state of

$$|\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{d-1} \cdots \sum_{j_n=0}^{d-1} C_{j_1j_2\cdots j_n} |j_1j_2\cdots j_n\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n},\tag{7}$$

where C's are complex coefficients and $|\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2...X_n}$ is assumed to be normalized. Moreover, Alice and Bob share in advance n generalized Bell states (GBSs) in the form

$$|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = |\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_1B_1}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_2B_2}\cdots|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_nB_n},\tag{8}$$

where

$$|\Phi_{00}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} |jj\rangle/\sqrt{d}.$$
 (9)

Alice has the *n* qudits $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ while Bob the *n* qudits $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$. Hence the state of the 3n-qudit system is

$$|\Gamma\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_nA_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = |\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_1B_1}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_2B_2}\cdots|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_nB_n}.$$
 (10)

Alice performs 2-qudit Φ -state projective measurements on the qudit pairs (X_1, A_1) , (X_2, A_2) , \cdots , (X_2, A_2) , respectively. The 2-qudit Φ -state set $\{|\Phi_{kl}\rangle_{AB} = U_A^{(kl)}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{AB} = V_B^{(kl)}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{AB}$; $k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}\}$ is a complete orthonormal basis set in d^2 dimensional Hilbert space for two qudits, where

$$U^{(kl)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{-2\pi i |j-l|k/d} |j-l| \langle j|/\sqrt{d},$$
(11)

$$V^{(kl)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{2\pi i jk/d} |\overline{j+l}\rangle\langle j|/\sqrt{d},$$
(12)

$$\overline{j+l} = (j+l) \bmod d. \tag{13}$$

Incidentally, since Φ -states can be transformed into each other via the local unitary operations, the quantum channel linking Alice and Bob can also be other TPSs such as $|\Theta_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$ instead of the TPS $|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$, where

$$|\Theta_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}\rangle_{A_{1}B_{1}A_{2}B_{2}\cdots A_{n}B_{n}} = U_{A_{1}}^{(k_{1}l_{1})}U_{A_{2}}^{(k_{2}l_{2})}\cdots U_{A_{n}}^{(k_{n}l_{n})}|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_{1}B_{1}A_{2}B_{2}\cdots A_{n}B_{n}}$$

$$= V_{B_{1}}^{(k_{1}l_{1})}V_{B_{2}}^{(k_{2}l_{2})}\cdots V_{B_{n}}^{(k_{n}l_{n})}|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_{1}B_{1}A_{2}B_{2}\cdots A_{n}B_{n}}.$$
(14)

After Alice's measurements, the system's state collapses to

$$(|\Theta_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1X_1A_2X_2\cdots A_nX_n}\langle\Theta_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}|)|\Gamma\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_nA_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$$

$$= (|\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}\langle\Phi_{k_1l_1}|)(|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\langle\Phi_{k_2l_2}|)\cdots(|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}\langle\Phi_{k_nl_n}|)|\Gamma\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_nA_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$$

$$= |\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\cdots|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}(A_1X_1\langle\Phi_{k_1l_1}|A_2X_2\langle\Phi_{k_2l_2}|\cdots A_nX_n\langle\Phi_{k_nl_n}|)|\Gamma\rangle_{X_1X_2A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$$

$$= |\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\cdots|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}(A_1X_1\langle\Phi_{00}|U_{A_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger})(A_2X_2\langle\Phi_{00}|U_{A_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger})\cdots(A_nX_n\langle\Phi_{00}|U_{A_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger})$$

$$\times|\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_1B_1}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_2B_2}\cdots|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_nB_n}$$

$$= |\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\cdots|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}(A_1X_1\langle\Phi_{00}|A_2X_2\langle\Phi_{00}|\cdots A_nX_n\langle\Phi_{00}|)$$

$$\times|\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_1B_1}V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_2B_2}\cdots V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{A_nB_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d^n}|\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\cdots|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger}V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger}\cdots V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger}|\Lambda\rangle_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d^n}|\Theta_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1X_1A_2X_2\cdots A_nX_n}V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger}V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger}\cdots V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger}|\Lambda\rangle_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}.$$
(15)

This means that if Alice gets the state $|\Phi_{k_1l_1}\rangle_{A_1X_1}|\Phi_{k_2l_2}\rangle_{A_2X_2}\cdots|\Phi_{k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_nX_n}$ via her measurement, then the state of Bob's qudits $\{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_n\}$ collapses to the state $V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger}V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger}\cdots V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger}|\Lambda\rangle_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$. Further, if Alice tells Bob her results (i.e., $(k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n)$) via public channel, then Bob can recover the state $|\Lambda\rangle$ in his qudits $\{B_1,B_2,\cdots,B_n\}$ by performing the local unitary operations $V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)},V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)},\cdots$, and $V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)}$, respectively. Up to now, we have presented the protocol \mathcal{D}_n for teleporting arbitrary

n-qudit state using TPS of n GBSs. By the way, when the dimensionality d of the qudit state in our protocol \mathcal{D}_n is 2, then the present protocol becomes the Yang-Guo protocol[4]. Further, if n is equal to 2, then the present protocol becomes the Lee-Min-Oh protocol in Ref.[3].

III. Protocols \mathcal{D}'_n and \mathcal{D}''_n using two classes of GESs

In the last section we have shown a protocol \mathcal{D}_n for teleporting arbitrary n-qudit state using TPS of n GBSs. Now we consider the teleportation of the same n-qudit state using another entangled quantum channel between Alice and Bob as follows,

$$|\Xi_{0000\cdots00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = \Upsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}|\Theta_{0000\cdots00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}.$$
(16)

where $\Upsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}$ is a global unitary operator acting on the n qudits A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n and can not be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits. The state of the 3n qudits $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n, A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, \cdots, A_n, B_n$ is

$$|\Gamma'\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_nA_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = |\Lambda\rangle_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}|\Xi_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}.$$
(17)

The 2n-qudit state set $\{|\Xi_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = \Upsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}|\Theta_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n};$ $k_x, l_x \in \{0, 1, \dots d\}\}$ is another complete orthonormal basis set for 2n qudits. Different Ξ states can be transformed into each other via local unitary operations. Hence, other Ξ states can also be used as the quantum channel instead of the state $|\Xi_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$. Alice performs the Ξ -state projective measurement on the qubits $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ in her site,

$$|\Xi_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}\rangle_{A_{1}X_{1}A_{2}X_{2}\cdots A_{n}X_{n}}\langle\Xi_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}|\Gamma'\rangle_{X_{1}X_{2}\cdots X_{n}A_{1}B_{1}A_{2}B_{2}\cdots A_{n}B_{n}}$$

$$=|\Xi_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}\rangle_{A_{1}X_{1}A_{2}X_{2}\cdots A_{n}X_{n}}\langle_{A_{1}X_{1}A_{2}X_{2}\cdots A_{n}X_{n}}\langle\Theta_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}|\Upsilon^{\dagger}_{A_{1}A_{2}\cdots A_{n}}$$

$$\times|\Lambda\rangle_{X_{1}X_{2}\cdots X_{n}}\Upsilon_{A_{1}A_{2}\cdots A_{n}}|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_{1}B_{1}A_{2}B_{2}\cdots A_{n}B_{n}}$$

$$=\frac{1}{d^{n}}|\Xi_{k_{1}l_{1}k_{2}l_{2}\cdots k_{n}l_{n}}\rangle_{A_{1}X_{1}A_{2}X_{2}\cdots A_{n}X_{n}}V^{(k_{1}l_{1})\dagger}_{B_{1}}V^{(k_{2}l_{2})\dagger}_{B_{2}}\cdots V^{(k_{n}l_{n})\dagger}_{B_{n}}|\Lambda\rangle_{B_{1}B_{2}\cdots B_{n}}.$$
(18)

This indicates that if Alice obtains the state $|\Xi_{k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n}\rangle_{A_1X_1A_2X_2\cdots A_nX_n}$ via her measurement, then the state of Bob's n qudits B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n collapses to $V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)\dagger}V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)\dagger}\cdots V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)\dagger}|\Lambda\rangle_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$. Further, if Alice informs Bob of her results (i.e., $(k_1l_1k_2l_2\cdots k_nl_n)$) via public channel, then Bob can recover the state $|\Lambda\rangle$ in his n qudits B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n by performing the local unitary operations $V_{B_1}^{(k_1l_1)}, V_{B_2}^{(k_2l_2)}, \cdots$, and $V_{B_n}^{(k_nl_n)}$, respectively. Since $\Upsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}$ is a global unitary operator and can not be reducible to n local operators acting on the n qudits A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n , the Ξ states can not be reducible to the Θ states. Hence, Ξ states is different from the TPSs of n GBSs and is referred to as a kind of genuine entangled states for it is also a candidates for teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state.

So far, we have presented the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n for teleporting arbitrary n-qudit state using a class of GESs. In the special case of n=2, d=2 and $\Upsilon=\cos\theta_{12}|00\rangle\langle00|+\sin\theta_{12}|11\rangle\langle00|-\sin\theta_{12}|00\rangle\langle11|+\cos\theta_{12}|11\rangle\langle11|-\sin\phi_{12}|01\rangle\langle01|+\cos\phi_{12}|10\rangle\langle01|+\cos\phi_{12}|01\rangle\langle10|+\sin\phi_{12}|10\rangle\langle10|$, the present protocol \mathcal{D}'_n is exactly the Yeo-Chua protocol in Ref.[8]. By the way, if $\Upsilon_{A_1A_2...A_n}$ can be reducible to n local operators acting on the n qudits A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n , then the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n is transformed into the protocol \mathcal{D}_n .

Now let us present our protocol \mathcal{D}''_n for teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state using another class of GESs as quantum channel. The entangled quantum channel between Alice and Bob is as follows,

$$|\Xi'_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n} = \Upsilon_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}\Omega_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}|\Theta_{0000\cdots 00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}.$$
(19)

where $\Omega_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$ is a global unitary operator acting on the n qudits B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n and can not be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits. Obviously, this entangled quantum channel

 $|\Xi'_{0000\cdots00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$ is different from $|\Xi_{0000\cdots00}\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2\cdots A_nB_n}$ used in the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n . However, since the n qudits B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n are in Bob's site, before teleportation he can perform the unitary operation $\Omega^{\dagger}_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$. After his performance, the quantum channel is transformed into the first class of GESs. Surely, the teleportation can be realized. Hence the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n is only a slight variation of the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n but using different quantum channels. One can easily see that, the Chen-Zhu-Guo protocol is only our present protocol \mathcal{D}''_n in the special case of d=2. By the way, if $\Omega_{B_1B_2\cdots B_n}$ can be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n , then the protocol \mathcal{D}''_n is transformed into the protocol \mathcal{D}'_n with other Ξ state as quantum channel.

4 Summary

To summarize, in this paper we have presented a protocol \mathcal{D}_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with the TPS of n GBSs as the quantum channel. Moreover, we have also put forward explicit protocols \mathcal{D}'_n and \mathcal{D}''_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with two classes of 2n-qudit GESs as the quantum channel, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 60677001 and 10304022, the science-technology fund of Anhui province for outstanding youth under Grant No.06042087, the general fund of the educational committee of Anhui province under Grant No.2006KJ260B, and the key fund of the ministry of education of China under Grant No.206063.

References

- C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wotters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [3] J. Lee, H. Min, and S. D. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 66, 052318 (2002).
- [4] C. P. Yang and G. C. Guo, Chin. Phys. Lett. 17, 162 (2000).
- [5] Z. J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A 351, 55 (2006).
- [6] G. Rigolin, Phys. Rev. A 71, 032303 (2005).
- [7] F. G. Deng, Phys. Rev.A 72, 036301 (2005).
- [8] Y. Yeo and W. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 060502 (2006).
- [9] P. X. Chen, S. Y. Zhu and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A 74, 032324 (2006).
- [10] M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. A 58, 4368 (1998).
- [11] S. Stenholm, P. J. Bardroff, Phys. Rev. A 58, 4373 (1998).
- [12] L. Roa, A. Delgado, and I. Fuentes-Guridi, Phys. Rev. A 68, 022310 (2003).